

University of Dundee

Smoking cessation interventions for patients with coronary heart disease and comorbidities

Blane, David N.; Mackay, Daniel; Guthrie, Bruce; Mercer, Stewart W.

Published in:
British Journal of General Practice

DOI:
[10.3399/bjgp16X688405](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp16X688405)

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Blane, D. N., Mackay, D., Guthrie, B., & Mercer, S. W. (2017). Smoking cessation interventions for patients with coronary heart disease and comorbidities: an observational cross-sectional study in primary care. *British Journal of General Practice*, 67(655), e118-e129. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp16X688405>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Patterns of co-morbidity and smoking cessation interventions in primary care for patients with coronary heart disease: a cross-sectional study

David N. Blane^{1†}, Daniel Mackay², Bruce Guthrie³, Stewart W. Mercer¹

Affiliations:

- 1) General Practice and Primary Care, Institute of Health and Wellbeing,
University of Glasgow, 1 Horselethill Road, Glasgow, G12 9LX, UK.
- 2) Public Health, Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, 1 Lilybank
Gardens, Glasgow G12 8RZ, UK.
- 3) Quality, Safety and Informatics Research Group, Population Health Sciences
Division, University of Dundee, Mackenzie Building, Kirsty Semple Way, Dundee,
DD2 4BF, UK.

[†] Corresponding author: Email: david.blane@glasgow.ac.uk / Tel: 0141 330 5765

Key words: Primary Health Care, Smoking Cessation, Coronary Disease, Comorbidity,
Socioeconomic status, Disparities

[Word count = 2,815, excluding title page, abstract, references, and tables]

How this fits in

Smoking cessation improves health outcomes for adults with coronary heart disease and primary care practitioners are well placed to support people to stop smoking. This study has shown that the quality of smoking cessation care provided in primary care was generally high for adults with CHD, but that more targeted support for particular groups (those from deprived areas and those with mental health problems) is required.

Abstract

Background: Smoking cessation is a core part of the primary care management of CHD but little is known about how smoking cessation practices differ for patients with different co-morbidities.

Aim: To determine the association between different patterns of co-morbidity and smoking rates and smoking cessation interventions in primary care for patients with CHD.

Design and Setting: Cross-sectional study of 81,456 adults with CHD in primary care in Scotland.

Method: Details of eight ‘concordant’ physical co-morbidities (i.e. conditions where smoking cessation is critical), 23 ‘discordant’ physical co-morbidities and eight mental health co-morbidities were extracted from electronic health records. Outcome measures were smoking status, smoking cessation advice recorded, and smoking cessation medication (nicotine replacement therapy, NRT) prescribed. Multilevel binary logistic regression models were constructed to determine the association between these patterns of co-morbidity and smoking status and the two smoking cessation interventions.

Results: The most deprived quintile had nearly three times higher odds of being current smokers than the least deprived (OR 2.76, 95% CI 2.49 to 3.05). People with CHD and two or more mental health co-morbidities had more than twice the odds of being current smokers than those with no mental health conditions (OR 2.11, 95% CI 1.99 to 2.24). Despite this,

those with two or more mental health co-morbidities (OR 0.77, 95% CI 0.61 to 0.98) were less likely to receive smoking cessation advice, but absolute differences were small.

Conclusions: Patterns of co-morbidity are associated with variation in smoking status and the delivery of smoking cessation advice among people with CHD in primary care. Those from the most deprived areas and those with mental health problems are considerably more likely to be current smokers and require additional smoking cessation support.

INTRODUCTION

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is the leading cause of death worldwide.(1) It is now recognised that most people with CHD have additional co-morbidities, with important implications for how patients are treated and how services are organised.(2, 3) The type of co-morbidity is important too, as different conditions may be more or less challenging to manage alongside CHD. For example, ‘concordant’ physical conditions such as hypertension that share the same pathophysiological risk profile as CHD, are more likely to share the same treatment and self-management plan and are likely to present fewer problems in management than ‘discordant’ physical conditions that are not directly related in either their pathogenesis or management.(4) Similarly, patients with CHD and mental health co-morbidities may not receive optimal care of physical conditions if they are mostly seen by mental health teams.(5)

Tobacco smoking is one of the main risk factors for the development of CHD (6) and stopping smoking reduces the risk of mortality in individuals with established CHD.(7, 8) Smoking cessation practice in UK primary care involves three strands: (i) recording of smoking status; (ii) offering ‘brief interventions’(9) involving advice and encouragement to stop smoking; and (iii) offering nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) or other smoking cessation medication and/or referral to specialist smoking cessation services.

Since 2004, the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) incentivised UK GPs to provide smoking cessation interventions with smokers who have certain chronic conditions, including CHD, which are regarded as a priority for smoking cessation. Yet there has been relatively little research into the quality of smoking cessation practice for patients with CHD in UK primary care.(10) This study uses individual level data to explore the relationship between three strands of smoking cessation practice (recorded smoking status, smoking cessation

advice and NRT prescribing) and three different patterns of co-morbidity in patients with CHD, taking account of age, sex, deprivation, and the effect of clustering by practice.

The following three research questions were posed:

1. Does *current smoking status* in people with CHD vary by patterns of co-morbidity?
2. Does *receipt of smoking cessation advice* in current smokers with CHD vary by patterns of co-morbidity?
3. Does *receipt of smoking cessation medication* in current smokers with CHD vary by patterns of co-morbidity?

METHODS

Study design and population

An observational cross-sectional study design was applied using population data from GP electronic medical records for one third of the Scottish population, which has previously been used to examine the prevalence of multimorbidity in the Scottish population and has been fully described in a previous paper.(2) The data used were extracted from a dataset held by the Primary Care Clinical Informatics Unit (PCCIU) at the University of Aberdeen. The dataset comprises complete copies of clinical data for all registered patients from 314 general practices caring for 1,754,133 registered patients, approximately one-third of the Scottish population. Data was collected between April 2006 and March 2007. The dataset has previously been shown to be representative of all Scottish patients in terms of age, sex and socio-economic status.(11)

Study variables

Smoking cessation practice

The three outcomes of interest in this study were: (i) recorded smoking status, (ii) recorded smoking cessation advice in the last 15 months, and (iii) recorded Nicotine Replacement Therapy (NRT) prescribing in the last 15 months. Recorded smoking status was based on 30 Read Codes (the standard coding system used in UK primary care) in the original dataset re-coded into 'Ex-Smoker/Never Smoked' or 'Current Smoker'. Previous research has found good validity of GP recording of smoking status, comparable to nationally representative population surveys.(12-15)

Recorded smoking cessation advice in the last 15 months (the timeframe used for QOF) was based on 21 different read codes re-coded into 'Advice recorded' or 'Advice not recorded' (defined as the absence of a smoking cessation advice code). This timeframe was also used for recorded NRT prescribing, which was defined as nicotine replacement therapy in British National Formulary section 4.10.2. All types of NRT – patches, gum, etc – were grouped together. Read Code lists are provided in Appendix 1.

Co-morbidities

Data on the presence of 40 conditions (i.e. CHD and 39 co-morbid conditions) was previously extracted by a team of researchers, including SM and BG, and has been described in detail elsewhere.(2) The present study explored the effect of three different patterns of co-morbidity on smoking cessation practice. These were: concordant physical co-morbidities, defined as physical conditions (vascular and respiratory) for which smoking is a significant risk factor and for which smoking cessation is considered critical; discordant physical co-morbidities (the remaining physical conditions where smoking cessation is less critical); and co-morbid mental health conditions. For all three patterns, we used a simple unweighted count of 0, 1, or ≥ 2 conditions. There were eight concordant physical conditions: five

broadly ‘vascular’ (hypertension, diabetes, chronic kidney disease (CKD), stroke/TIA, and peripheral vascular disease (PVD)) and three ‘respiratory’ (asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and bronchiectasis). These conditions were considered to be concordant in relation to smoking cessation in that they are all exacerbated by smoking and smoking cessation is of upmost importance in their management. Most of these conditions are also included in the QOF and patients with these conditions are routinely invited to chronic disease management clinics in primary care, where smoking cessation interventions should be initiated.(16, 17) The eight mental health conditions and 23 discordant physical conditions can be found in Appendix 2, with their prevalences in this population.

Deprivation status

Socioeconomic deprivation was quantified using the Carstairs score, grouped into quintiles. This area-based measure of deprivation, derived from census and other routine data, has been widely used in health research.(18)

Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis of the study population examined how smoking status, receipt of smoking cessation advice, and receipt of NRT varied by patient characteristics. For the three outcome variables of interest, multilevel binary logistic regression models were constructed in order to account for the clustering of patients within practices. Results are presented as univariate (crude) and multivariate (adjusted) odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), with adjustment made for age group, sex, deprivation, and the three patterns of co-morbidity. Analysis was carried out using STATA-MP version 14.0 (Texas, USA).

RESULTS

81,456 adults aged 25 and over with a recorded diagnosis of CHD were included in the first regression model, representing a prevalence of CHD in the population studied of 4.64% (95% CI 4.61 to 4.67). The distribution of study variables and outcomes and the characteristics of the study population are presented in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

Smoking status

Smoking status was recorded in 99.6% of people with CHD, with 16,745 (20.5%) recorded as current smokers and 35,967 (44.1%) as ex-smokers. Table 3 shows the unadjusted and adjusted ORs of being a current smoker. There were marked differences in the odds of being a smoker by age group, deprivation quintile, and different patterns of co-morbidity. Fewer women were smokers than men, but in the fully adjusted model, differences between men and women were not statistically significant (OR 0.99, 95% CI 0.95 to 1.03).

The odds of being a current smoker decreased with age, with lower odds for each age group compared to the reference group of under-55 year-olds (42.8% of whom were current smokers). The percentage of current smokers rose with increasing deprivation, from 11.5% in the most affluent quintile to 30.4% in the most deprived. In the adjusted model, the odds of being a current smoker increased with each quintile of increased deprivation, such that those in the most deprived quintile had nearly three times the odds of being a current smoker compared to those in the most affluent quintile (OR 2.76, 95% CI 2.49 to 3.05).

In terms of the effect of different patterns of co-morbidity, for people with one concordant physical co-morbidity, the odds of being a current smoker were reduced compared to those without (OR 0.88, 95% CI 0.84 to 0.93). For those with 2 or more concordant co-

morbidities, the odds ratio of being a smoker was not statistically significantly different. The odds of being a current smoker also decreased with additional discordant physical co-morbidities, from OR 0.86 (95% CI 0.83 to 0.90) for those with one discordant physical condition to OR 0.72 (95% CI 0.69 to 0.75) for those with two or more. Those with two or more co-morbid mental health conditions had more than twice the odds of being current smokers as those without (OR 2.11, 95% CI 1.99 to 2.24).

Smoking cessation advice

15,395 (91.9%) current smokers were recorded as being given smoking cessation advice in the previous 15 months. Table 4 presents the multilevel binary logistic regression model for receipt of smoking cessation advice by current smokers. In the adjusted model, women had slightly higher odds of receiving smoking cessation advice compared to men (OR 1.15, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.30), although absolute differences were small.

In terms of deprivation status, adults with CHD from the most deprived quintile had lower odds of receiving smoking cessation advice compared to those in the most affluent quintile in the unadjusted model, but this was no longer statistically significant after adjustment (OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.62 to 1.02). It is worth noting that more than 90% of smokers in every quintile received advice, which was the QOF threshold for this target.

Those with concordant physical conditions were more likely to receive smoking advice than those without, with OR 2.10 (95% CI 1.77 to 2.51) for those with two or more concordant physical conditions compared to those with none, but with small absolute differences (94.1% compared to 89.1%). There was a similar, though less marked, relationship between additional discordant physical conditions and receipt of smoking cessation advice. Those

with mental health conditions had significantly lower odds of receiving smoking cessation advice (e.g. OR 0.74, 95% CI 0.62 to 0.88 for those with one mental health co-morbidity) but again, absolute differences were small.

Smoking cessation medication

There were 2427 (14.5%) current smokers who received a prescription for NRT in the 15 months prior to data collection. Table 5 presents the multilevel binary logistic regression model for receipt of NRT by current smokers.

A greater proportion of women who were current smokers (17.1%) received NRT compared to men (12.8%; OR 1.48, 95% CI 1.35 to 1.62). The proportion of smokers receiving NRT decreased with age, such that 21.2% of those smokers aged under 55 received NRT, compared to just 7.1% of those aged 75 years and older (OR 0.22, 95% CI 0.19 to 0.27). In terms of deprivation, the percentage of smokers receiving NRT increased from 11.8% in the most affluent quintile to 15.7% in the most deprived quintile (OR 1.41, 95% CI 1.11 to 1.80).

In terms of different patterns of co-morbidity, the percentage of smokers receiving NRT was 13.2% for those with no concordant physical conditions and 15.4% for those with 2 or more concordant physical conditions (OR 1.39, 95% CI 1.24 to 1.56), with similar percentages for discordant physical conditions. Smokers with one or more mental health condition were more likely to receive NRT (16.7% for one condition and 16.2% for two or more) than those without any mental health co-morbidity (13.1%). In the adjusted model, however, the OR was only significant for those smokers with one mental health condition (OR 1.18, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.31).

DISCUSSION

Summary

This large nationally representative cross-sectional study has highlighted marked differences in smoking status among adults with coronary heart disease, by age, sex, deprivation and different patterns of co-morbidity. Younger adults (<55 years), those living in the most deprived areas and those with mental health co-morbidities were more likely to be current smokers.

The quality of smoking cessation care provided in primary care was generally high, with smoking status recorded in 99.6% of people with CHD, and recent smoking cessation advice recorded for 91.9% of current smokers, with only small absolute differences between groups. There was a modest but consistent trend for higher NRT prescription amongst those more deprived and those with more co-morbidities.

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of this study include the large size of the dataset (over 80,000 people with CHD), the fact it was nationally representative, and the relatively large number of co-morbid conditions included. Limitations include it being a cross-sectional study so it is not possible to ascertain causality or temporality of any of the observed associations. As with any secondary data analysis, the quality and validity of the findings are only as good as the quality of the original data. In this case, confidence in the accuracy and consistency of the data is increased as the main outcome variables of interest were either collected routinely for QOF or were prescribing data, which are known to be well recorded.⁽¹⁹⁾ With regard to NRT prescriptions, the observed trend for higher NRT prescriptions among those more deprived and those with more co-morbidities should be interpreted with caution, as the findings do not

account for over-the-counter (OTC) NRT, which was widely available at relatively low cost in the UK at the time of the study, but may be more affordable and accessible to the more affluent.(20, 21)

Finally, we are unable to say what sort of smoking cessation advice was given or how often this was reinforced. The content and quality of GP ‘brief advice’ varies considerably, but this is not captured in our data; an important caveat when interpreting these results.(22, 23) Previous studies have demonstrated reluctance by GPs to discuss smoking in depth, with only a fraction of opportunities to give smoking advice taken up.(24, 25) The reasons for this reluctance are complex, but include lack of time, lack of confidence, and concerns about the impact on the doctor-patient relationship.(26, 27)

Comparison with existing literature

This study is one of only two that we are aware of that have assessed the associations between different patterns of co-morbidity and smoking rates and smoking cessation interventions in primary care for patients with coronary heart disease, and is the first to have examined ‘concordant’ co-morbidities in this regard. Consistent with this paper, Hippisley-Cox et al examined people with CHD and ‘serious mental health’ co-morbidity (predominately schizophrenia or bipolar disorder) and found that most CHD care indicators – including recording of smoking status and smoking cessation advice – were achieved equally for patients with and without a serious mental health problem.(28)

Individuals with CHD and one or more mental health co-morbidity were more likely to be current smokers than those without, a finding that is consistent with previous research.(29-31)

The quality of care for physical health problems in people with mental health conditions has come under scrutiny.(5, 32) In a US study, smoking cessation counselling was included as one of five quality indicators used to compare the quality of care among patients with or without mental illness and the impact of this on risk of mortality 1 year post-MI was examined.(33) Deficits in quality of care explained a substantial proportion of the excess mortality experienced by people with mental disorders after MI, but differences in smoking cessation counselling did not contribute to this.

Implications for research and practice

In this study approximately 85% of current smokers did not receive any NRT. This may simply reflect the best practice recommendations to only prescribe NRT to those who are committed to stopping smoking.(34) It is clear, however, that we need better ways of converting people with CHD who are current smokers into non-smokers, particularly for those from more deprived areas and those with mental health co-morbidity.

Current NHS stop smoking services are reaching disadvantaged smokers,(35) but a number of other barriers exist, including more challenging life circumstances, lack of social support, and higher nicotine dependency.(36-38) There are no easy solutions to these challenges,(39) but more proactive identification of smokers who want to quit, with referral to smoking cessation services, has been shown to increase quit attempts in previous primary care-based studies.(40, 41)

With regard to mental health co-morbidity, the RCGP has produced succinct primary care guidance on smoking and mental health, which outlines the physical, mental and financial benefits of stopping smoking, and provides practitioners with advice on medication dose adjustment and monitoring of mental health during smoking cessation attempts.(42) Clear

communication and co-ordination between smoking cessation services and prescribers in primary and secondary care is recommended.

This study adds to a growing body of research that explores the effect of different combinations of co-morbidity on quality of care outcomes.(3, 43, 44) The grouping of concordant ‘vascular’ physical conditions that we used in this study may be useful to clinical practice in the future, as they share a common pathophysiology or management. The study also adds to the large body of evidence on disparities between those with physical and mental health problems.(5, 45, 46) Improving integration and co-ordination of care for people with multimorbidity is one of the key healthcare challenges of the 21st century.(47, 48)

Acknowledgments

We thank the Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government Health Directorates (Applied Research Programme Grant ARPG/07/1); the Scottish School of Primary Care, which part-supported SWM’s post and the development of the Applied Research Programme; and the Primary Care Clinical Informatics Unit at the University of Aberdeen, which provided the data. The views in this publication are not necessarily the views of the University of Aberdeen or University of Glasgow, their agents, or employees. We thank Katie Wilde and Fiona Chaloner of the University of Aberdeen, who performed the initial data extraction and management.

Funding

No funding was obtained for this study.

Ethical approval

The NHS National Research Ethics Service had previously approved the anonymous use of these data for research purposes, therefore this study did not need individual ethics approval.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions

DB carried out the analyses and drafted the initial manuscript and all other authors contributed to subsequent drafts. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Reference List

1. GBD 2013. Global, regional, and national age–sex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9963):117-71.
2. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, et al. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9836):37-43.
3. Boyd CM, Leff B, Wolff JL, et al. Informing clinical practice guideline development and implementation: prevalence of coexisting conditions among adults with coronary heart disease. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2011;59(5):797-805.
4. Piette JD, Kerr EA. The Impact of Comorbid Chronic Conditions on Diabetes Care. *Diabetes Care*. 2006;29(3):725-31.
5. Woodhead C, Ashworth M, Broadbent M, et al. Cardiovascular disease treatment among patients with severe mental illness: a data linkage study between primary and secondary care. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2016;66(647):e374-e81.
6. Conroy RM, Pyörälä K, Fitzgerald AP, et al. Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: the SCORE project. *European Heart Journal*. 2003;24(11):987-1003.
7. Critchley JA, Capewell S. Mortality Risk Reduction Associated with Smoking Cessation in Patients with Coronary Heart Disease: A Systematic Review. *JAMA*. 2003;290(1):86-97.
8. Wilson K, Gibson N, Willan A, et al. Effect of smoking cessation on mortality after myocardial infarction: meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000;160(7):939-44.
9. Stead LF, Buitrago D, Preciado N, et al. Physician advice for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;5:Cd000165.
10. Langley TE, Szatkowski L, McNeill A, et al. Prescribing of nicotine replacement therapy to cardiovascular disease patients in England. *Addiction*. 2012;107(7):1341-8.
11. Elder R, Kirkpatrick M, Ramsay W, et al. Measuring quality in primary medical services using data from SPICE. Edinburgh: Information and Statistics Division, NHS National Services Scotland, 2007.
12. Langley TE, Szatkowski LC, Wythe S, et al. Can primary care data be used to monitor regional smoking prevalence? An analysis of The Health Improvement Network primary care data. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11(1):1-6.
13. Marston L, Carpenter JR, Walters KR, et al. Smoker, ex-smoker or non-smoker? The validity of routinely recorded smoking status in UK primary care: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4(4).

14. Szatkowski L, Lewis S, McNeill A, et al. Can data from primary care medical records be used to monitor national smoking prevalence? *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2011.
15. Booth HP, Prevost AT, Gulliford MC. Validity of smoking prevalence estimates from primary care electronic health records compared with national population survey data for England, 2007 to 2011. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety*. 2013;22(12):1357-61.
16. Coleman T, Lewis S, Hubbard R, et al. Impact of contractual financial incentives on the ascertainment and management of smoking in primary care. *Addiction*. 2007;102(5):803-8.
17. West R, McNeill A, Raw M. Smoking cessation guidelines for health professionals: an update. *Thorax*. 2000;55(12):987-99.
18. Carstairs V, Morris R. Deprivation and health in Scotland. Aberdeen: Aberdeen University Press; 1991.
19. Whitelaw FG, Nevin SL, Milne RM, et al. Completeness and accuracy of morbidity and repeat prescribing records held on general practice computers in Scotland. *Br J Gen Pract*. 1996;46(404):181-6.
20. Bernstein SL, Cabral L, Maantay J, et al. Disparities in Access to Over-the-Counter Nicotine Replacement Products in New York City Pharmacies. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2009;99(9):1699-704.
21. Bonevski B, Bryant J, Paul C. Encouraging smoking cessation among disadvantaged groups: A qualitative study of the financial aspects of cessation. *Drug and Alcohol Review*. 2011;30(4):411-8.
22. Coleman T. Do financial incentives for delivering health promotion counselling work? Analysis of smoking cessation activities stimulated by the quality and outcomes framework. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10(1):1-6.
23. Coleman T, Cheater F, Murphy E. Qualitative study investigating the process of giving anti-smoking advice in general practice. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2004;52(2):159-63.
24. Coleman T, Wynn AT, Barrett S, et al. Intervention study to evaluate pilot health promotion payment aimed at increasing general practitioners' antismoking advice to smokers. *BMJ*. 2001;323(7310):435.
25. Coleman T, Wilson A. Anti-smoking advice from general practitioners: is a population-based approach to advice-giving feasible? *Br J Gen Pract*. 2000;50(461):1001-4.
26. Vogt F, Hall S, Marteau TM. General practitioners' and family physicians' negative beliefs and attitudes towards discussing smoking cessation with patients: a systematic review. *Addiction*. 2005;100(10):1423-31.

27. Coleman T, Murphy E, Cheater F. Factors influencing discussion of smoking between general practitioners and patients who smoke: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract.* 2000;50(452):207-10.
28. Hippisley-Cox J, Parker C, Coupland C, et al. Inequalities in the primary care of patients with coronary heart disease and serious mental health problems: a cross-sectional study. *Heart.* 2007;93(10):1256-62.
29. Breslau N, Kilbey MM, Andreski P. DSM-III-R nicotine dependence in young adults: prevalence, correlates and associated psychiatric disorders. *Addiction.* 1994;89(6):743-54.
30. Lasser K, Boyd JW, Woolhandler S, et al. Smoking and mental illness: A population-based prevalence study. *JAMA.* 2000;284(20):2606-10.
31. Osborn DP, Nazareth I, King MB. Risk for coronary heart disease in people with severe mental illness: cross-sectional comparative study in primary care. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science.* 2006;188:271-7.
32. Mitchell AJ, Malone D, Doebbeling CC. Quality of medical care for people with and without comorbid mental illness and substance misuse: systematic review of comparative studies. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science.* 2009;194(6):491-9.
33. Druss BG, Bradford WD, Rosenheck RA, et al. Quality of medical care and excess mortality in older patients with mental disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2001;58(6):565-72.
34. NICE. Smoking cessation services in primary care, pharmacies, local authorities and workplaces, particularly for manual working groups, pregnant women and hard to reach communities. London: NICE Public Health Guidance 10, 2008.
35. Hiscock R, Bauld L. Stop Smoking Services and Health Inequalities. National Centre for Smoking Cessation and Training (NCSCT), 2013.
36. Chandola T, Head J, Bartley M. Socio-demographic predictors of quitting smoking: how important are household factors? *Addiction.* 2004;99(6):770-7.
37. Paul CL, Ross S, Bryant J, et al. The social context of smoking: A qualitative study comparing smokers of high versus low socioeconomic position. *BMC Public Health.* 2010;10(1):1-7.
38. Siahpush M, McNeill A, Borland R, et al. Socioeconomic variations in nicotine dependence, self-efficacy, and intention to quit across four countries: findings from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Four Country Survey. *Tobacco Control.* 2006;15(suppl 3):iii71-iii5.
39. Murray RL, Bauld L, Hackshaw LE, et al. Improving access to smoking cessation services for disadvantaged groups: a systematic review. *Journal of Public Health.* 2009;31(2):258-77.
40. McEwen A, Condliffe L, Gilbert A. Promoting engagement with a Stop Smoking Service via pro-active telephone calls. *Patient Education and Counseling.* 2010;80(2):277-9.

41. Murray RL, Coleman T, Antoniak M, et al. The effect of proactively identifying smokers and offering smoking cessation support in primary care populations: a cluster-randomized trial. *Addiction*. 2008;103(6):998-1006.
42. Campion J, Shiers D, Britton J, et al. Primary Care Guidance on Smoking and Mental Disorders – 2014 update. Royal College of General Practitioners & Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2014.
43. Redelmeier DA, Tan SH, Booth GL. The treatment of unrelated disorders in patients with chronic medical diseases. *The New England journal of medicine*. 1998;338(21):1516-20.
44. Turner BJ, Hollenbeak CS, Weiner M, et al. Effect of unrelated comorbid conditions on hypertension management. *Ann Intern Med*. 2008;148(8):578-86.
45. Chang C-K, Hayes RD, Perera G, et al. Life Expectancy at Birth for People with Serious Mental Illness and Other Major Disorders from a Secondary Mental Health Care Case Register in London. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(5):e19590.
46. Phelan M, Stradins L, Morrison S. Physical health of people with severe mental illness. *BMJ*. 2001;322(7284):443.
47. Guthrie B, Payne K, Alderson P, et al. Adapting clinical guidelines to take account of multimorbidity. *BMJ*. 2012;345:e6341.
48. Starfield B. Challenges to primary care from co- and multi-morbidity. *Prim Health Care Res Dev*. 2011;12(1):1-2.